UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,922	01/11/2007	Sergei Nikolaevich Maximovsky	7382-88934	3637
	7590 06/24/200 ΓABIN & FLANNER \		EXAMINER	
	ASALLE STREET		ANGADI, MAKI A	
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/587,922	MAXIMOVSKY ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAKI A. ANGADI	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may munication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) May will, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION.  The a reply be timely filed  SOUTHS from the mailing date of this committee ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	2b) This action is non-final.  I for allowance except for formal m	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restri  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	are withdrawn from consideration.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to by the second street of th	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeg g the correction is required if the drawing	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received ir of the priority documents have be onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1- 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated over Inoue (US Patent No. 4,511,595).

As to claim 1, Inoue discloses a method which reads on the process of producing a metal or metallized image on a workpiece or substrate or sheet material such as silica board (3) (Fig.1) (col.1, lines 65-68 and col.8, lines 9-10); the method discloses the process of applying a solution containing a salt of the metal (col.4, lines 55-58 and col.8, lines 25-30) onto the sheet material and impregnating the sheet material with solution (col.4, lines 55-58 and col.8, lines 28-36) causing extraction of the metal from the solution at specified points of surface of the sheet material; by applying laser beam which inherently contains electromagnetic radiation (col.8, lines 37-53) to the deposited sheet metal deposited on the pretreated board (col.8, lines 23-25) at the specified points (col.8, lines 41-44); and forming the image from a combination of metallized points (col.8, lines 41-53).

Application/Control Number: 10/587,922 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

As to claim 2, Inoue discloses a method that reads on the process of extraction of metal from the solution (col.8, lines 23-25) by focusing electromagnetic radiation pulses provided by a laser (12) (Fig.2) which are focused on the specified points by a laser beam (11) of the sheet material surface (3a) (col.5, lines 6-20).

As to claim 3, Inoue discloses that the electromagnetic radiation in the form of a thermal laser beam, cause laser radiation pulses to reduce, in the solution, metal ions of the metal at the specified points of the workpiece or sheet material (col.5, lines 6-20).

As to claim 4, Inoue discloses a method which reads on the process of controlling the duration of the electromagnetic radiation pulses in the form of laser pulses (col.5, lines 28-33) and size of the laser beam spot down to twice the wavelength of the beam for printing-type deposition wiring of tiny electronic device and high precision localized deposition (col.7, lines 18-22) so that one can avoid the burn of the sheet material.

As to claim 5, Inoue discloses a method of forming channels or intricate contours (Fig.11, col.10, lines 22-23) in the workpiece or sheet material under the action of laser radiation (electromagnetic radiation) by selectively activating the interface to enhance the chemcial-depositibility of the metal from the solution at their bottoms (Fig.2) (col.5, lines 8-12 and col.8, lines 23-28), and forming an image or pattern from a combination of metallized deepened into the body of the workpiece or sheet material (col.8, lines 46-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/587,922 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

As to claim 6, Inoue discloses the process of preparing a solution in which several metal salts are present (col.8, lines 23-28), depositing simultaneously all the metals present in the solution at each of the specified point of the workpiece of sheet material (col.8, lines 38-49) and forming metal alloys or doped metals (col.9, lines 3-14) at specified points (col.10, lines 22-33, Fig.11).

As to claim 7, Inoue discloses the use electromagnetic radiation in the form of carbon-dioxide laser (claim 18), argon gas laser (claim 17) with pulses of different duration (col.5, lines 46-49).

As to claim 8, Inoue discloses an apparatus (col.5, lines 39-45) which reads on the process of applying a metallized image (Fig.1) on a sheet material or wokpiece or substrate (col.3, lines 66-67) comprising a means (6) positioned in front of the workpiece (3) for applying a metal onto the workpiece (col.4, lines 55-58) and a device or apparatus for fixing the metal to the sheet material at specified points (col.4, lines 59-66), apparatus being characterized in that the means for applying the metal onto the sheet material is made as a reservoir with solution (6) (Fig.1, col.4, line 6) containing a salt of the metal (col.8, lines 23-28) and as a fixture for transferring the solution from the reservoir to the sheet material (col.4, lines 55-58) and impregnating the sheet material with said solution (col.8, lines 30-36), and the means for fixing the metal to the sheet material or workpiece is made as a generator laser radiation pulses (12a) (Fig.7) and as a unit for focusing pulses of specified points at a surface of the workpiece

(3) to extract the metal at the points from the solution (col.7, lines 42-49) impregnated into the sheet material (claim 9).

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/21/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claim 1, applicants' arguments on page 4 of the reply asserting that the prior art of Inoue (USP # 4,511,595) does not disclose the interaction of laser beam or any other scanning heat source interact with the substrate are not convincing. Inoue discloses an apparatus that is useful for producing a print-form circuit with intricate contours having one or more grooves on a substrate using laser pulses (11, Fig.1) (col.5, lines 39-54, claims 9 and 10).

Applicants' arguments on page of 5 of the reply asserting that the process employed by Inoue is not suitable to form an alloy at low temperatures are again not convincing. The apparatus disclosed in Fig.1 includes a control unit (27) which can be used to generate thermal beam to heat the depositing solution at higher temperatures (col.9, lines 3-14) suitable for alloy formation. The teachings of Inoue are commensurate with the scope of the applicants limitation disclosed in independent claims 1 and 8.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Garcia et al. (US Patent No. 3,835,780) discloses a process of printing by driography.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAKI A. ANGADI whose telephone number is (571)272-8213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 4.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,922 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

/Maki A Angadi/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Nadine G Norton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.